



Motivation

- The ℓ_1 -norm is a convex surrogate of ℓ_0 -norm, but it may be too loose.
- A better choice is to use nonconvex surrogate functions, e.g., ℓ_p -norm (0).
- The nuclear norm is a convex surrogate of the rank function, but it may be too loose.
- A better choice is to apply the nonconvex surrogate functions of ℓ_0 -norm on the singular values.
- However, the nonconvex low-rank minimization is much more challenging than the nonconvex sparse minimization.
- We propose a general solver for nonconvex nonsmooth low-rank minimization.

Many Nonconvex Surrogate Functions of the ℓ_0 -norm

Table: Popular nonconvex surrogate functions of $\theta||_0$ and their supergradients.

Penalty	Formula $g_{\lambda}(\theta), \theta \geq 0, \lambda > 0$	Supergradient $\partial g_{\lambda}(\theta)$
L _p -norm	$\lambda \theta^{p}$	$\begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } \theta = 0, \\ \lambda p \theta^{p-1}, & \text{if } \theta > 0. \end{cases}$
SCAD	$\begin{cases} \lambda \theta, & \text{if } \theta \leq \lambda, \\ \frac{-\theta^2 + 2\gamma\lambda\theta - \lambda^2}{2(\gamma - 1)}, & \text{if } \lambda < \theta \leq \gamma\lambda, \\ \frac{\lambda^2(\gamma + 1)}{2}, & \text{if } \theta > \gamma\lambda. \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } \theta \leq \lambda, \\ \frac{\gamma\lambda - \theta}{\gamma - 1}, & \text{if } \lambda < \theta \leq \gamma\lambda, \\ 0, & \text{if } \theta > \gamma\lambda. \end{cases}$
Logarithm	$rac{\lambda}{\log(\gamma+1)}\log(\gamma heta+1)$	$\left rac{\gamma\lambda}{(\gamma\theta+1)\log(\gamma+1)} ight $
MCP	$\begin{cases} \lambda \theta - \frac{\theta^2}{2\gamma}, & \text{if } \theta < \gamma \lambda, \\ \frac{1}{2}\gamma \lambda^2, & \text{if } \theta \ge \gamma \lambda. \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{\theta}{\gamma}, & \text{ if } \theta < \gamma \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{ if } \theta \geq \gamma \lambda. \end{cases}$
Capped L ₁	$\begin{cases} \lambda \theta, & \text{if } \theta < \gamma, \\ \lambda \gamma, & \text{if } \theta \geq \gamma. \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } \theta < \gamma, \\ [0, \lambda], & \text{if } \theta = \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{if } \theta > \gamma. \end{cases}$
ETP	$rac{\lambda}{1-\exp(-\gamma)}(1-\exp(-\gamma heta))$	$\frac{\lambda\gamma}{1-\exp(-\gamma)}\exp(-\gamma\theta)$
Geman	$\frac{\lambda\theta}{\theta+\gamma}$	$\frac{\lambda\gamma}{(\theta+\gamma)^2}$
Laplace	$\lambda(1 - \exp(-\frac{\theta}{\gamma}))$	$\frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \exp(-\frac{\theta}{\gamma})$

Figure: Illustration of the popular nonconvex surrogate functions of $||\theta||_0$ (left), and their supergradients (right).







(g) Geman

The same properties:

- All the above nonconvex surrogate functions are concave and monotonically increasing on $[0,\infty)$.
- Their supergradients are nonnegative and monotonically decreasing.

Supergradient of a Concave Function g

Definition Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be concave. A vector **v** is a supergradient of g at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequality holds

$$g(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq g(\mathbf{y}).$$

All supergradients of g at x are called superdifferential, denoted as $\partial g(\mathbf{x})$. Key Lemma The superdifferential of a concave function g is an antimonotone operator, i.e.,

$$\langle \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq \mathbf{0},$$
 (1)
for any $\mathbf{u} \in \partial g(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{v} \in \partial g(\mathbf{y}).$



Figure: Supergraidients of a concave function. \mathbf{v}_1 is a supergradient at \mathbf{x}_1 , and \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 are supergradients at \mathbf{x}_2 .

Generalized Nonconvex Nonsmooth Low-Rank Minimization Canyi Lu¹, Jinhui Tang², Shuicheng Yan¹, Zhouchen Lin³

¹National University of Singapore, ²Nanjing University of Science and Technology, ³Peking University

(h) Laplace

Nonconvex Nonsmooth Low-Rank Minimization

 $\min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}} F(\mathbf{X}) =$

- where $\sigma_i(\mathbf{X})$ denotes the *i*-th singular value of $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (assume $m \leq n$). The penalty function g_{λ} and loss function f satisfy the following assumptions:
- nonsmooth.
- Examples: all the known nonconvex surrogate functions of ℓ_0 -norm.
- ▶ $f: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a smooth function with Lipschitz continuous gradient, i.e., where L(f) > 0 is called the Lipschitz constant of ∇f . f is possibly nonconvex.
- **Examples:** squared loss $||A(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{b}||^2$ and logistic loss. $F(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \infty \text{ iff } ||\mathbf{X}||_F \rightarrow \infty.$

Iteratively Reweighted Nuclear Norm (IRNN): A General Solver to (2)

Method: when updating X^{k+1} , linearize g_{λ} and f at X^k , simultaneously. Motivation: two key inequalities: (3) and (5). Since g_{λ} is concave on [0, ∞), by the definition of the supergradient, we have $\boldsymbol{g}_{\lambda}(\sigma_{i}(\mathbf{X})) \leq \boldsymbol{g}_{\lambda}(\sigma_{i}(\mathbf{X}^{k})) + \boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{k}(\sigma_{i}(\mathbf{X}) - \sigma_{i}(\mathbf{X}^{k})),$

where

 $W_i^{\kappa} \in$

Since $\nabla f(\mathbf{X})$ is Lipschitz continuous, we have $f(\mathbf{X}) \leq f(\mathbf{X}^k) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{X}^k), \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^k \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} ||\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^k||_F^2, \ \forall \mu \geq L(f).$

Combining (3) and (5), we update X by

$$X^{k+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ m}}^{m} W_i^k \sigma_i(\mathbf{X})$$

= $\arg \min_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ m}}^{m} W_i^k \sigma_i(\mathbf{X})$

Since $\sigma_1(\mathbf{X}^k) \geq \sigma_2(\mathbf{X}^k) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_m(\mathbf{X}^k) \geq 0$, by (1), we have

$$0 \le w_1^k \le w_2^k \le \cdots \le w_m^k$$
.
problem (6) has a closed form solution by Weighted

This key property guarantees that p Singular Value Thresholding. Iteratively Reweighted Nuclear Norm (IRNN) algorithm: Alternately updating w by (4) and **X** by (6) to solve (2).

Convergence Analysis of IRNN

Theorem The sequence $\{\mathbf{X}^k\}$ generated by IRNN satisfies the following properties: $F(\mathbf{X}^k)$ is monotonically decreasing. Indeed, $F(\mathbf{X}^k) - F(\mathbf{X}^{k+1}) \ge \frac{\mu - L(f)}{2} ||\mathbf{X}^k - \mathbf{X}^{k+1}||_F^2 \ge 0;$

- ▶ $\lim (\mathbf{X}^{k} \mathbf{X}^{k+1}) = \mathbf{0};$
- The sequence $\{\mathbf{X}^k\}$ is bounded;
- Any accumulation point of $\{\mathbf{X}^{\kappa}\}$ is a stationary point to problem (2).

This work aims to solve the following nonconvex nonsmooth low-rank minimization problem

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{\lambda}(\sigma_{i}(\mathbf{X})) + f(\mathbf{X}),$$

• $g_{\lambda}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous, concave and monotonically increasing on [0, ∞). g_{λ} is possibly

 $||\nabla f(\mathbf{X}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{Y})||_F \leq L(f)||\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}||_F, \ \forall \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$

$$\partial \boldsymbol{g}_{\lambda}(\sigma_i(\mathbf{X}^k))$$

$$+ \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{X}^{k}), \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^{k} \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} ||\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^{k}||_{F}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X} - \left(\mathbf{X}^{k} - \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla f(\mathbf{X}^{k}) \right) \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$

Experiment: Low-rank Matrix Completion on Random Data



Experiment: Low-rank Matrix Completion for Image Recovery



(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)





Test on the following problem with different nonconvex surrogate functions

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{\lambda}(\sigma_i(\mathbf{X})) + \frac{1}{2} ||\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M})||_F^2,$$
(7)

where Ω is the index set, and $\mathcal{P}_{\Omega} : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a linear operator that keeps the entries in Ω unchanged and those outside Ω zeros.

Figure: Low-rank matrix completion on random data.

(c) APGL (e) TNNR-ADMM (f) IRNN- L_n (g) IRNN-SCAD (d) LMaFit Figure: (a) Original image. (b) Noisy image. (c)-(g) Recovered images by APGL, LMaFit, TNNR-ADMM, IRNN- L_{p} , and IRNN-SCAD, respectively.

(d) IRNN- L_n (c) APGL Figure: Image recovery on more images.



Figure: Comparison of the PSNR values by different matrix completion algorithms.

Take Home Message

- Use nonconvex surrogate rank function instead of nuclear norm;
- Iteratively Reweighted Nuclear Norm (IRNN), the first general solver to (2), was proposed.